no person after being rejected by the Senate shall be again nominated for the same office at the same session.

Earlier there is the statement that an appointment that is made after the first 60 days for regular session requires the Governor to present the nomination at the next session, the next regular session.

Does that mean that a person could be rejected by the Senate after having been nominated and then on the 61st day since the Senate rejected him, be appointed to that position and would continue to serve until the end of the next regular session the following year?

DELEGATE FOX: Delegate Morgan.

DELEGATE MORGAN: No, he could not be again appointed to that position.

DELEGATE FOX: Delegate Chabot.

DELEGATE CHABOT: Would your objective be changed or would your understanding of the meaning of the language be changed if on line 38 instead of "nominated for" we said "appointed to"?

I am wondering if that is not really what the committee meant, appointed to rather than nominated for.

1

2

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21